

THE MAXIMAL NUMBER OF SINGULAR POINTS ON LOG DEL PEZZO SURFACES

GRIGORY BELOUSOV

ABSTRACT. We prove that a del Pezzo surface with Picard number one has at most four singular points.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *log del Pezzo surface* is a projective algebraic surface X with only quotient singularities and ample anticanonical divisor $-K_X$.

Del Pezzo surfaces naturally appear in the log minimal model program (see, e. g., [7]). The most interesting class of del Pezzo surfaces is the class of surfaces with Picard number 1. It is known that a log del Pezzo surface of Picard number one has at most five singular points (see [8]). Earlier the author proved there is no log del Pezzo surfaces of Picard number one with five singular points [1]. In this paper we give another, simpler proof.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a del Pezzo surface with log terminal singularities and Picard number is 1. Then X has at most four singular points.*

Recall that a normal complex projective surface is called a *rational homology projective plane* if it has the same Betti numbers as the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. J. Kollár [9] posed the problem to find rational homology $\mathbb{P}^2$'s with quotient singularities having five singular points. In [4] this problem is solved for the case of numerically effective K_X . Our main theorem solves Kollár's problem in the case where $-K_X$ is negative.

The author is grateful to Professor Y. G. Prokhorov for suggesting me this problem and for his help.

The work was partially supported by grant N.Sh.-1987.2008.1.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We work over complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. We employ the following notation:

- $(-n)$ -curve is a smooth rational curve with self intersection number $-n$.
- K_X : the canonical divisor on X .
- $\rho(X)$: the Picard number of X .

Theorem 2.1 (see [8, Corollary 9.2]). *Let X be a rational surface with log terminal singularities and $\rho(X) = 1$. Then*

$$(*) \quad \sum_{P \in X} \frac{m_P - 1}{m_P} \leq 3,$$

where m_P is the order of the local fundamental group $\pi_1(U_P - \{P\})$ (U_P is a sufficiently small neighborhood of P).

So, every rational surface X with log terminal singularities and Picard number one has at most six singular points. Assume that X has exactly six singular points. Then by $(*)$ all singularities are Du Val. This contradicts the classification of del Pezzo surfaces with Du Val singularities (see, e. g., [3], [10]).

2.2. Thus to prove Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to show that there is no log del Pezzo surfaces with five singular points and Picard number one. Assume the contrary: there is log del Pezzo surfaces with five singular points and Picard number one. Let $P_1, \dots, P_5 \in X$ be singular points and $U_{P_i} \ni P_i$ small analytic neighborhood. By Theorem 2.1 the collection of orders of groups $\pi_1(U_{P_1} - P_1), \dots, \pi_1(U_{P_5} - P_5)$ up to permutations is one of the following:

2.2.1. $(2, 2, 3, 3, 3), (2, 2, 2, 4, 4), (2, 2, 2, 3, n), \quad n = 3, 4, 5, 6,$

2.2.2. $(2, 2, 2, 2, n), \quad n \geq 2.$

Remark 2.3. According to the classification of del Pezzo surfaces with Du Val singularities we may assume that there is a non-Du Val singular point. The case 2.2.1 is discussed in [4, proof of Prop. 6.1]. Thus it is sufficient to consider case 2.2.2.

2.4. Notation and assumptions. Let X be a del Pezzo surface with log terminal singularities and Picard number $\rho(X) = 1$. We assume that we are in case 2.2.2, i. e. the singular locus of X consists of four points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 of type A_1 and one more non Du Val singular point

P_5 with $|\pi_1(U_{P_5} - P_5)| = n \geq 3$. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be the minimal resolution and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ be the reduced exceptional divisor, where the D_i are irreducible components. Then there exists a uniquely defined an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $D^\sharp = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i D_i$ such that $\pi^*(K_X) \equiv D^\sharp + K_{\bar{X}}$.

Lemma 2.5 (see, e. g., [13, Lemma 1.5]). *Under the condition of 2.4, let $\Phi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration. Let m be a number of irreducible components of D not contain in any fiber of Φ and let d_f be a number of (-1) -curves contained in a fiber f . Then*

- (1) $m = 1 + \sum_f (d_f - 1)$.
- (2) *If E is a unique (-1) -curve in f , then the coefficient E in f is at least two.*

The following lemma is a consequence of the Cone Theorem.

Lemma 2.6 (see, e. g., [13, Lemma 1.3]). *Under the condition of 2.4, every curve on $\bar{X}$ with negative selfintersection number is either (-1) -curve or a component of D .*

Definition 2.7. Let (Y, D) be a projective log surface. (Y, D) is called the *weak log del Pezzo surface* if the pair (Y, D) is klt and the divisor $-(K_Y + D)$ is nef and big.

For example, in the above notation, $(\bar{X}, D^\sharp)$ is a weak del Pezzo surface. Note that if (Y, D) is a weak log del Pezzo surface with $\rho(Y) = 1$ then divisor $-(K_Y + D) = A$ is ample and Y has only log terminal singularities. Hence, Y is a log del Pezzo surface.

Lemma 2.8 (see, e. g., [1, Lemma 2.9]). *Suppose (Y, D) is a weak log del Pezzo surface. Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a birational contraction. Then $(Y', D' = f_*D)$ is also a weak log del Pezzo surface.*

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: THE CASE WHERE X HAS CYCLIC QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

In this section we assume that X has only cyclic quotient singularities.

The following lemma is very similar to that in [5]. For convenience of the reader we give a complete proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the condition of 2.4, suppose P_5 is a cyclic quotient singularity. Then there exists a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\Phi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $f \cdot D \leq 2$, where f is a fiber of Φ .*

Proof. Let $\nu : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the minimal resolution of the non Du Val singularities and let $E = \sum E_i$ be the exceptional divisor. By [12, Corollary 1.3] or [8, Lemma 10.4] we have $|-K_X| \neq \emptyset$. Take $B \in |-K_X|$. Then we can write

$$K_{\hat{X}} + \hat{B} = \nu^*(K_X + B) \sim 0,$$

where $\hat{B}$ is an effective integral divisor. We obviously have $\hat{B} \geq E$.

Run the MMP on $\hat{X}$. We obtain a birational morphism $\phi : \hat{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{X}$ has only Du Val singularities and either $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 2$ and there is a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\psi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 1$. Moreover, ϕ is a composition

$$\hat{X} = X_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_n} X_{n+1} = \tilde{X},$$

where ϕ_i is a weighted blowup of a smooth point of X_{i+1} with weights $(1, n_i)$ (see [11]).

Assume that $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 1$, then every singular point on $\tilde{X}$ is of type A_1 . By the classification of del Pezzo surfaces with Du Val singularities and Picard number one (see, e. g., [3], [10]) we have $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}^2$ or $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$.

Assume that $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 1$ and $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. Since $\phi_*(\hat{B})$ has at most two components, we see that ϕ contracts at most two curves K_1 and K_2 such that K_i is not component of E . Since X has four singular points of type A_1 , we see that $\tilde{X}$ has at least two singular points, a contradiction.

Assume that $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 1$ and $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}^2$. Since $\phi_*(\hat{B})$ has at most three components, we see that ϕ contracts at most three curves K_1, K_2 and K_3 such that K_i is not component of E . Since X has four singular points of type A_1 , we see that $\tilde{X}$ has at least one singular point, a contradiction.

Therefore, $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 2$ and there is a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\psi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $g : \bar{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ be the minimal resolution of $\hat{X}$. Let $\Phi' = \psi \circ \phi$ and let f' be a fiber of Φ' . Then $f' \cdot E \leq f' \cdot \hat{B} = -K_{\hat{X}} \cdot f' = 2$. Set $\Phi = \Phi' \circ g$. $\square$

3.2. Let f be a fiber of Φ . By Lemma 3.1 we have the following cases:

3.2.1. f meets exactly one irreducible component D_0 of D and $f \cdot D_0 = 1$.

Let L be a singular fiber of Φ . By Lemma 2.5 (1) the fiber L contains exactly one (-1) -curve F . By Lemma 2.5 (2) F does not meet D_0 . Then F meets at most two components of D . Blowup one of the points of intersection F and D . We obtain a surface Y . Let $h : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be

a contraction of all curves with selfintersection number at most -2 . Note that Y' has only log terminal singularities but not of type 2.2.2, a contradiction.

3.2.2. f meets exactly two irreducible components D_1, D_2 of D and $D_1 \cdot f = D_2 \cdot f = 1$.

By Lemma 2.5 (1) there exists a unique singular fiber L such that L has two (-1) -curves F_1 and F_2 . Note that one of this curves, say F_1 , meets D at one or two points. Blowup one of the points of intersection F_1 and D . We obtain a surface Y . Let $h : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a contraction of all curves with selfintersection number at most -2 . Note that Y' has only log terminal singularities but not of type 2.2.2, a contradiction.

3.2.3. f meets exactly one irreducible component D_0 of D and $f \cdot D_0 = 2$. Let A be a connected component of D containing D_0 .

By Lemma 2.5 (1) every singular fiber of Φ contains exactly one (-1) -curve. Note that every singular fiber of Φ either contains two connected components of $A - D_0$ or the coefficient of a unique (-1) -curve in this fiber is equal two. If a singular fiber L contains exactly one (-1) -curve with coefficient two, then the dual graph of L is following:

$$(**) \quad \begin{array}{c} -2 \\ \circ \end{array} \text{ --- } \begin{array}{c} -1 \\ \circ \end{array} \text{ --- } \begin{array}{c} -2 \\ \circ \end{array}$$

Since X has five singular points with orders of local fundamental groups $(2, 2, 2, 2, n)$, we see that Φ has two singular fibers L_1, L_2 of type $(**)$ and possibly one more singular fiber L_3 . Note that L_3 contains both connected component of $A - D_0$. Let $\mu : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$ be the contraction of all (-1) -curves in fibers of Φ , where $\mathbb{F}_n$ is the Hirzebruch surface of degree n (rational ruled surface) and $n = 0, 1$. Denote $\tilde{D}_0 := \mu_* D_0$. Note that $\tilde{D}_0 \sim 2M + kf$, where $M^2 = -n$ and $M \cdot f = 1$. Since we contract at most five curves that meet D_0 , and $D_0^2 \leq -2$, we see that $0 < \tilde{D}_0^2 \leq 3$. Hence, $0 < -4n + 4k \leq 3$. This is impossible, a contradiction.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: THE CASE WHERE X HAS NON-CYCLIC QUOTIENT SINGULARITY

Under the condition of 2.4, assume X has a non-cyclic singular point, say P . Then there is a unique component D_0 of D such that $D_0 \cdot (D - D_0) = 3$ (see [2]).

Lemma 4.1. *There is a generically $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\Phi : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that Φ has a unique section D_0 in D and $D_0 \cdot f \leq 3$, where f is a fiber of Φ .*

Proof. Recall that P is not Du Val. Let $h : \bar{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ be contract all curves in D except D_0 . Let $\hat{D}_0 = h_*(D_0)$ then $\hat{X}$ has seven singular points, $\rho(\hat{X}) = 2$ and there is $\nu : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $K_{\hat{X}} + a\hat{D}_0 = \nu^*K_X$. Note that $(\hat{X}, a\hat{D}_0)$ is a weak log del Pezzo. Let R be the extremal rational curve different from $\hat{D}$. Let $\phi : \hat{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the contraction of R .

4.2. There are two cases:

4.2.1. $\rho(\tilde{X}) = 1$. Then, by Lemma 2.8, $\tilde{X}$ is a del Pezzo surface. If the number of singular points of $\tilde{X}$ on R is at most two, $\tilde{X}$ has at least five singular points and all points are cyclic quotients. Thus assume that there is at least three singular points of $\tilde{X}$ on R , say P_1, P_2, P_3 . Let $R_1 = \sum_i R_{1i}$, $R_2 = \sum_i R_{2i}$ and $R_3 = \sum_i R_{3i}$ be the exceptional divisors on $\tilde{X}$ over P_1, P_2 and P_3 , respectively. Let $\bar{R}$ is the proper transformation of R on $\tilde{X}$. Since $\bar{R}$ is not component of D , we see that $\bar{R}^2 \geq -1$. Indeed, this follows from Lemma 2.6. Note that matrix of intersection of component $\bar{R} + R_1 + R_2 + R_3$ is not negative definite. Hence, $\bar{R} + E_1 + E_2 + E_3$ can not be contracted, a contradiction.

4.2.2. $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $g : \bar{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ be the resolution of singularities. Then $\Phi = \phi \circ g : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that there is a unique horizontal curve D_0 in D . Let f be a fiber of Φ . Denote coefficient of D_0 in $D^\sharp$ by α . Then

$$0 > (K_{\bar{X}} + D^\sharp) \cdot f = -2 + \alpha(D_0 \cdot f).$$

Hence, $D_0 \cdot f < \frac{2}{\alpha}$. Since P is not Du Val, we see that $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $D_0 \cdot f \leq 3$.

□

By Lemma 2.5 (1) every singular fiber of Φ contains exactly one (-1) -curve. Let B be the exceptional divisor corresponding to the non-cyclic singular point. Note that B contains D_0 .

4.3. Consider three cases.

4.3.1. $D_0 \cdot f = 1$. Then every singular fiber of Φ contains exactly one connected component of $B - D_0$. On the other hand, $B - D_0$ contains three connected component. Hence X has at most four singular points, a contradiction.

4.3.2. $D_0 \cdot f = 2$. Let F_1, F_2, F_3 be a connected components of $B - D_0$. We may assume F_1 is (-2) -curve (see [2]). Let L_1 be a singular fiber of Φ . Assume that L_1 contains F_1 . Then L_1 is type $(**)$ and L_1 contain F_2 . Hence, F_2 is a (-2) -curve. Let L_2 be a singular fiber of Φ .

Assume that L_2 contains F_3 and let E be a unique (-1) -curve in L_2 . By blowing up the point of intersection E and F_3 , we obtain a surface Y . Let $h : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a contraction of all curve with selfintersection number at most -2 . Note that Y' has only log terminal singularities but not of type 2.2.2, a contradiction.

4.3.3. $D_0 \cdot f = 3$. Since every component of $D - B$ is a (-2) -curve, we see that every singular fiber of Φ contains a connected component of $B - D_0$. Note that $B - D_0$ contains three connected components. Hence X has at most four singular points, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. N. Belousov, *Del Pezzo surfaces with log terminal singularities*, Mat. Zametki **83** (2008), no. 2, 170-180 (Russian) English translate Math. Notes.
- [2] Brieskorn E. *Rationale Singularitäten komplexer Flächen*, Invent. Math. **4** (1968), 336 – 358.
- [3] Furushima M. *Singular del Pezzo surfaces and analytic compactifications of 3-dimensional complex affine space C^3* , Nagoya Math. J. **104** (1986), 1 – 28.
- [4] D. Hwang, J. Keum *The maximum number of singular points on rational homology projective planes* arXiv:math.AG/0801.3021v3.
- [5] P. Hacking Yu. Prokhorov *Smoothable del Pezzo surfaces with quotient singularities* Unpublished manuscript.
- [6] Kawamata Y. *Crepant blowing-up of 3-dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **127** (1988), 93 – 163.
- [7] Kawamata Y., Matsuda K. & Matsuki J. *Introduction to the minimal model program*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283 – 360.
- [8] Keel S. & McKernan J. *Rational curves on quasi-projective surfaces*, Memoirs AMS **140** (1999), no. 669.
- [9] Kollar J. *Is there a topological Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality?*, Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly **4** No. 2 (2008).
- [10] Miyanishi M. & Zhang D. -Q. *Gorenstein log del Pezzo surfaces of rank one*, J. Algebra. **118** (1988), 63 – 84.
- [11] Morrison D. *The Birational Geometry of Surfaces with Rational Double Points* Math. Ann. **271** (1985), 415-438.
- [12] Prokhorov Yu. G. Verevkin A. B. *The Riemann-Roch theorem on surfaces with log terminal singularities* J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **140** (2007), no. 2, 200-205.
- [13] Zhang D.-Q. *Logarithmic del Pezzo surfaces of rang one with contractible boundaries*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 461 – 497.